Application No. 10/782,152 Amendment Dated 12 May 2006 Reply to Office Action of 14 February 2006

Remarks 1

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-4, 6-8 and 13 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1 has now been amended according to the Examiner's suggestion. Claims 4 and 7 have now been cancelled.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1, 2 and 13 under 35 USC 103 as being unpatentable over Scheidt in view of Theobald and Dueckinghaus et al. However, the Examiner has indicated that claims 3, 4, and 6-8 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, and to include all the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, claim 1 has been amended to include the subject matter of claims 2 and 3 which have now been cancelled. Claims 6 and 8 have been amended to depend from claim 1:

In conclusion, it is believed that this application is in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Any fees or charges due as a result of filing of the present paper may be charged against Deposit Account 04-0525. Two duplicates of this page are enclosed.

Respectfully,

Attorney for Applicant(s)

W. Michael Dixon
Reg. No. 37,815
Patent Department
Deere & Company
One John Deere Place
Moline, IL 61265
Telephone No. (309) 765-5159

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria VA 22313-1450 2006

Deere & Company

Signature

12 May 8